

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

HUNTER CHURCH, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated; LUDWIG LAUDENCIA, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated; JEREMY GYRON, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated; JESSICA BELTRAN, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HARRIS & HARRIS, LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00517-APG-MDC

ORDER

Harris & Harris removed this case to this court based on federal question jurisdiction. Although the complaint refers generally to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), it does not base any claim on a particular federal statute. Indeed, the third and fourth claims for relief reference Nevada state statutes. *See* ECF No. 1 at 22, 24. Thus, it is unclear whether any federal question is at issue in this case, which would be required for this court to exercise subject matter jurisdiction. Before I remand this case, I seek clarity from the plaintiffs about the basis of their FDCPA claim.

I THEREFORE ORDER that:

1. By April 12, 2024, the plaintiffs will file either (a) an amended complaint specifically asserting a claim under the federal FDCPA, or (b) a notice confirming they are not asserting any claims under federal law.
2. If the plaintiffs file a notice confirming they are not asserting any claims under federal law, then within 14 days thereafter defendant Harris & Harris will file

DATED THIS 28th day of March, 2024.

2